How will the integration of AI into military doctrine redefine global security and the nature of modern warfare?

April 24, 2026 | SnugLab Research | readme.snuglab.com

Executive Summary

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into military doctrine is redefining global security and modern warfare by dramatically accelerating operational tempo and enhancing military capabilities, yet simultaneously introducing profound risks to strategic stability and human control. While AI promises superior decision dominance and precision, evidence suggests its inherent complexities and speed create critical accountability gaps and foster uncontrollable arms races, potentially increasing the likelihood of rapid escalation and reducing the window for human diplomatic intervention during crises.

Key Findings

Accelerated Operational Tempo and Decision-Making

AI integration fundamentally accelerates the pace of warfare, compressing decision-making timelines from minutes to seconds, a velocity no human can match [6, 10]. This "machine speed" decision-making creates a significant first-mover advantage, incentivizing preemptive strikes and undermining strategic stability by reducing the time available for human deliberation and diplomatic intervention during crises [1, 3]. Experts warn that this rapid pace could lead to a "battlefield singularity," where humans are effectively out of the loop and no longer control the use of violence . The acceleration of knowledge acquisition and policy development through AI is transforming how militaries operate [11].

Enhanced Military Capabilities and Efficiency

AI integration offers a force-multiplier effect, enhancing military capabilities across various domains. It enables strategic decision-making at machine speed, reduces operational

redundancies, and optimizes force deployment [5]. AI-enabled systems enhance situational awareness and accelerate critical operational decisions, even in high-pressure environments [10]. Specific applications include:

- **Targeting and ISR:** AI-powered targeting systems allow drones to identify and strike targets with minimal human intervention [7]. Project Maven, for instance, uses convolutional neural networks to automate target recognition and classification . AI integration has boosted FPV drone strike accuracy from 30-50% to around 80% [7]. Palantir's MetaConstellation platform integrates satellite imagery with classified intelligence for enhanced analysis .
- **Logistics and Maintenance:** AI plays a critical role in processing and analyzing the massive amounts of data generated in modern warfare, which could otherwise overwhelm intelligence analysts [8]. AI-driven systems can optimize logistics, as demonstrated by the Dynamic Analysis and Replanning Tool (DART) during the Iraq War . Predictive logistics and warehouse optimization are also areas where AI is being applied [9, 10].
- **Cybersecurity:** AI-driven cybersecurity systems can identify unusual patterns, detect potential cyberattacks, and respond promptly to block or mitigate threats [8].

Escalation Risks and Strategic Instability

The integration of AI into military doctrine poses substantial risks to global security by accelerating arms races and increasing the potential for conflict escalation. Wargame simulations using Large Language Models have demonstrated that these systems can exhibit escalatory and difficult-to-predict behavior, including the use of nuclear weapons [12, 14]. The pursuit of AI-driven efficiency and speed lowers the threshold for engagement and fosters "first-strike" tactics, eroding human oversight [12, 14]. The historical precedent of the atomic bomb, which ended World War II but triggered a global arms race, serves as an analogy for AI's potential to fundamentally shift strategic stability .

Challenges to International Humanitarian Law and Accountability

AI integration creates critical accountability gaps and ethical dilemmas that strain existing International Humanitarian Law (IHL) frameworks. The "black box" nature of many AI systems, characterized by unexplainable and unpredictable behaviors, makes it

practically impossible to prove that a commander acted with the necessary intent or knowledge regarding a specific algorithmic failure . This difficulty in establishing *mens rea* (intent) for individual criminal liability under IHL is a significant concern . When a machine independently selects and attacks a target, current legal rules struggle to hold a specific person responsible for the resulting harm . The doctrine of command responsibility is strained when commanders lack the technical expertise to anticipate AI behavior . The human-centric assumptions of IHL are challenged by the speed, opacity, and autonomy of new AI technologies, potentially rendering existing frameworks incapable of preventing war crimes and regulating combatant behavior .

Global Competition and Governance Efforts

The integration of AI into military doctrine is a global phenomenon, with the US, China, and Russia identified as primary strategic competitors in the AI arms race .

- **United States:** The US Department of Defense views AI as a strategic imperative [2]. Projects like DARPA's Air Combat Evolution (ACE) aim to convert F-16 fighters into AI-powered aircraft, demonstrating AI algorithms autonomously flying an F-16 against a human-piloted one . The Maven Smart System demonstrates a "human-in-the-loop" architecture where AI provides recommendations, but human officers retain ultimate review and authorization .
- **China:** China is developing military AI across seven specific areas: intelligent vehicles, intelligence and surveillance (ISR), predictive maintenance, electronic warfare, simulation, command and control (C2), and automated target recognition . China has completed the 'Liaowangzhe II,' an AI-driven unmanned patrol boat .
- **Russia:** Russia has developed 'Marker,' an unmanned, tank-shaped ground robot .

In response to these developments, international efforts are underway to establish norms and regulations. The European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) categorizes AI systems by risk level-unacceptable, high-risk, and minimal .

Implications

The integration of AI into military doctrine implies a fundamental shift in global security dynamics, characterized by increased strategic instability and a redefinition of warfare. The acceleration of decision-making to "machine speed" creates an imperative for nations

to invest heavily in AI, fueling an arms race that could lower the threshold for conflict and increase the risk of rapid escalation [1, 7, 8]. The inherent opacity and autonomy of AI systems challenge the foundational principles of International Humanitarian Law, creating a "responsibility vacuum" where accountability for errors or war crimes becomes difficult to assign [13, 18, 19]. This necessitates a re-evaluation of legal and ethical frameworks to ensure human control and accountability remain paramount in an era of AI-driven warfare. The geopolitical landscape will likely shift dramatically depending on which nations harness the full power of AI and how they use it [8].

Limitations and Caveats

The assessment of AI's impact on global security and modern warfare involves future predictions and interpretations of complex legal and ethical frameworks, with limited direct operational data, leading to moderate confidence in definitive outcomes. The effectiveness of proposed mitigations, such as responsible design, human-machine teaming, and technical solutions like Explainable AI (XAI), in fully addressing accountability gaps and algorithmic bias in complex combat scenarios remains a point of significant debate [4, 5, 15, 16, 17]. The inherent complexity and potential for unpredictable behaviors in AI systems present ongoing challenges for both military doctrine and international governance.

Sources

[1] [gov] CGSR Annotated Bibliography Emerging Tech - cgsr.llnl.gov - https://cgsr.llnl.gov/sites/cgsr/files/2024-08/CGSR_Annotated_Bibliography_Emerging_Tech.pdf

[2] [gov] ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE STRATEGY FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF WAR.P - media.defense.gov - https://media.defense.gov/2026/Jan/12/2003855671/-1/-1/0/ARTIFICIAL-INTELLIGENCE-STRATEGY-FOR-THE-DEPARTMENT-OF-WAR.PDF

[3] [gov] Future Of The Battlefield - dni.gov - https://www.dni.gov/index.php/gt2040-home/gt2040-deeper-looks/future-of-the-battlefield

[4] [gov] Crs External Products - congress.gov - https://www.congress.gov/crs_external_products/R/PDF/R45178/R45178.8.pdf

[5] [gov] Tech Policy Institute Cornell University RFI 2025 - files.nitrd.gov - https://files.nitrd.gov/90-fr-9088/Tech-Policy-Institute-Cornell-University-RFI-2025.pdf

[6] [edu] Effects Of Ai Enhanced Decision Making On Air Force Doctrine - airuniversity.af.edu - https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Wild-Blue-Yonder/Articles/Article-Display/Article/3828212/effects-of-ai-enhanced-decision-making-on-air-force-doctrine/

[7] [edu] Ais Growing Role - warroom.armywarcollege.edu - https://warroom.armywarcollege.edu/articles/ais-growing-role/

[8] [edu] Ai War Next Revolution Military And Defense - captechu.edu - https://www.captechu.edu/blog/ai-war-next-revolution-military-and-defense

[9] [edu] Article - muse.jhu.edu - https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/419/article/983485

[10] [edu] Ai For Military Decision Making - cset.georgetown.edu - https://cset.georgetown.edu/publication/ai-for-military-decision-making/

[11] [edu] Warfare At The Speed Of Thought Balancing Ai And Critical Th - mwi.westpoint.edu - https://mwi.westpoint.edu/warfare-at-the-speed-of-thought-balancing-ai-and-critical-thinking-for-the-military-leaders-of-tomorrow/

[12] [edu] Battlefield Evidence Age Artificial Intelligence Enabled War - cjil.uchicago.edu - https://cjil.uchicago.edu/print-archive/battlefield-evidence-age-artificial-intelligence-enabled-warfare

[13] [edu] Legal Accountability Ai Driven Autonomous Weapons - lieber.westpoint.edu - https://lieber.westpoint.edu/legal-accountability-ai-driven-autonomous-weapons/

[14] [edu] Ai On The Frontline Managing Speed Stability And Accountabil - perryworldhouse.upenn.edu - https://perryworldhouse.upenn.edu/news-and-insight/ai-on-the-frontline-managing-speed-stability-and-accountability-in-combat/

[15] [edu] Who Decides How America Uses Ai In War - hai.stanford.edu - https://hai.stanford.edu/news/who-decides-how-america-uses-ai-in-war

[16] [gov] Army Doctrine Writers Embrace Ai To Speed Knowledge To Force - war.gov - https://www.war.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/4409667/army-doctrine-writers-embrace-ai-to-speed-knowledge-to-force/

[17] [edu] Weighing Ais Impact Global Order And Security - cyber.fsi.stanford.edu - https://cyber.fsi.stanford.edu/news/weighing-ais-impact-global-order-and-security

[18] A Hazard to Human Rights: Autonomous Weapons Systems and ... - https://www.hrw.org/report/2025/04/28/a-hazard-to-human-rights/autonomous-weapons-systems-and-digital-decision-making

[19] [edu] Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems (LAWS): Accountability ... - https://law.temple.edu/ilit/lethal-autonomous-weapon-systems-laws-accountability-collateral-damage-and-the-inadequacies-of-international-law/