

How does active Russian interference reshape UK democratic accountability and power structures by altering public trust in electoral integrity and governance stability?

September 26, 2026 | SnugLab Research

Executive Summary

Active Russian interference fundamentally reshapes UK democratic accountability and power structures by systematically eroding public trust in electoral integrity and governance stability [1, 2, 9]. This is achieved through weaponized disinformation, the exploitation of pre-existing societal divisions, and the creation of an "epistemological crisis" that makes distinguishing truth from falsehood increasingly difficult [1, 2, 5]. The interference challenges governance stability, creates a "conspiracy trap" for government countermeasures, and exploits vulnerabilities in digital platforms and illicit finance, ultimately requiring a comprehensive societal response to bolster democratic resilience [1, 2, 3, 4].

Key Findings

Erosion of Public Trust and Electoral Integrity

Russian interference actively weaponizes disinformation to discredit democratic institutions and foster widespread societal distrust within the UK [5]. This sustained assault on the information environment contributes to an "epistemological crisis," where the ability to discern truth from falsehood is compromised, thereby undermining the democratic marketplace of ideas [2]. Consequently, public trust in politics is declining, which correlates with decreased formal political engagement [11]. The UK population exhibits a significant vulnerability to such campaigns, with approximately 60% of Britons believing in at least one conspiracy theory, a susceptibility that foreign actors exploit to sow discord and increase the likelihood of individuals believing violence can resolve social problems [1]. As the prime minister noted, Russia is "waging an 'industrial-scale assault' on the UK's information environment using bot armies and fake websites to spread falsehoods, interfere in elections, foment discord and poison inter-community relations" [2].

Exploitation of Societal Divisions

Russian interference campaigns do not typically create societal divisions from scratch but rather effectively exploit pre-existing internal weaknesses within UK society [1, 2]. These include divisions arising from "culture wars" and global events, building upon organic, domestically crafted fractures [1, 2]. For example, far-right material designed to sow hatred against migrants is more effective when domestic politics have already created fertile ground for such sentiments [2]. By amplifying divisive content on digital platforms through bots and coordinated inauthentic behavior, Russia polarizes the natural formation of political discourse [1, 6]. As one analysis states, "Information warfare is only effective when it exploits structural vulnerabilities in the target society" [2].

Reshaping Power Structures and Democratic Accountability

The interference challenges UK democratic accountability and power structures through several interconnected mechanisms:

- **Undermining Governance Stability:** Foreign actors conduct a sustained campaign to erode the political and cultural fabric of the UK, directly threatening governance stability [1].
- **The "Conspiracy Trap" and Secrecy:** Government efforts to defend democracy risk falling into a "conspiracy trap," where counter-disinformation initiatives are perceived as attempts to manipulate political discourse [1]. This challenge is exacerbated by a lack of transparency, such as the UK government's failure to release reports on Russian interference, which raises questions about accountability and fuels public distrust [1, 8].
- **Illicit Finance and Regulatory Challenges:** Political interference is often financed through covert financial flows, posing significant challenges to traditional regulatory authority [4]. The Rycroft Review in the UK highlighted "the role of covert financial flows in supporting foreign interference, calling for a more coordinated response across intelligence, regulatory and financial systems" [4]. Furthermore, the open nature of liberal democracies makes foreign interference difficult to govern, and domestic regulations like the Online Safety Act can be framed as censorship by foreign actors and technology companies, complicating their implementation [3, 12].
- **Complicity of Domestic Actors and Technology Platforms:** The effectiveness of Russian information warfare relies heavily on structural vulnerabilities and complicit domestic channels, particularly those provided by large technology platforms [2]. For instance, Elon Musk's platform X has proven invaluable for spreading far-right material,

illustrating how global tech oligarchs can amplify foreign interference efforts [2, 3]. As one perspective notes, "British democracy is indeed under attack from Russia, but an effective campaign to build resilience has to recognise also the complicity of domestic extremists and the digital privileges they enjoy thanks to US technology companies" [2].

Institutional Responses and Limitations

The UK has implemented measures such as the National Security Act (2023) and the Foreign Influence Registration Scheme (FIRS) to bolster resilience against foreign interference [1]. However, legislation alone is insufficient due to existing loopholes, such as opaque shell companies and the ability of agents to operate independently of state control [1]. While Russia remains a primary threat, some analyses suggest an emerging US influence threat, driven by the Trump administration and US technology firms, could potentially rival or even eclipse the Russian threat in scale and effectiveness [3]. For example, reports emerged in late 2024 that Elon Musk would donate \$100 million to Reform UK following a meeting with Nigel Farage and Nick Candy at Donald Trump's house [3]. Ultimately, maintaining democratic accountability requires a "whole-of-society" approach that addresses internal polarization and ensures transparency to prevent countermeasures from further eroding public confidence [1, 7, 10]. As one commentary suggests, "With a Bill intended to futureproof democracy currently before Parliament, the UK should act now to strengthen its defences" [3].

Implications

The systematic erosion of public trust and the exploitation of societal divisions by Russian interference have profound implications for the long-term health of UK democracy. The creation of an "epistemological crisis" means that citizens struggle to form informed opinions, which is foundational to democratic participation and accountability. This environment makes it harder for governments to gain public consensus for policy decisions and for electoral outcomes to be widely accepted as legitimate. The challenges to governance stability, coupled with the "conspiracy trap" that undermines counter-disinformation efforts, suggest that the UK's democratic structures are under sustained pressure. The complicity of domestic actors and global technology platforms further complicates the issue, indicating that solutions must extend beyond traditional state-centric security measures to address internal vulnerabilities and the role of private entities.

Limitations and Caveats

This report synthesizes available findings on Russian interference in UK democratic accountability. However, precisely quantifying the direct impact of Russian interference on specific shifts in public trust or power structures is challenging due to the complex interplay of domestic political, social, and economic factors. It is difficult to isolate the effects of foreign interference from pre-existing trends in declining public trust or the organic evolution of societal divisions. The evolving nature of disinformation tactics and the covert methods of interference also mean that the full scope and impact may not be entirely visible or measurable. Furthermore, while the report highlights institutional responses, their long-term effectiveness is still being assessed.

Sources

- [1] [edu] Defending Democracy Jcnss Inquiry Crispi Suit - nottingham.ac.uk - <https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/politics/documents/news-events/defending-democracy-jcnss-inquiry-crispi-suit.pdf>
- [2] [news] The Guardian View On Russian Disinformation A Foreign Threat - theguardian.com - <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2026/sep/23/the-guardian-view-on-russian-disinformation-a-foreign-threat-that-relies-on-uk-complicity>
- [3] Emerging US Influence Threat British Democracy - rusi.org - <https://www.rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/commentary/emerging-us-influence-threat-british-democracy>
- [4] Recording Parliaments In Dialogue Resisting Political Interf - my.rusi.org - <https://my.rusi.org/resource/recording-parliaments-in-dialogue-resisting-political-interference.html>
- [5] Kofi Annan Commission On Elections And Democracy In The Digi - kofiannanfoundation.org - <https://www.kofiannanfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/Kofi-Annan-Commission-on-Elections-and-Democracy-in-the-Digital-Age-report-2020-english.pdf>
- [6] Download - ubplj.org - <https://www.ubplj.org/index.php/jaoi/article/download/2609/2122/8869>
- [7] Foreign Influence And Democratic Governance - cfr.org - <https://www.cfr.org/event/foreign-influence-and-democratic-governance>
- [8] Leaked Russian Interference Report Raises Uk Vote Questions - news10.com - <https://www.news10.com/news/leaked-russian-interference-report-raises-uk-vote-questions/>
- [9] RussianInfluenceOnUKPoliticsAndDemocracy - hansard.parliament.uk - <https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2026-02-09/debates/F9F28AFA-E1F3-449C-B18B-63DDCABC411E/RussianInfluenceOnUKPoliticsAndDemocracy>
- [10] [gov] Future Democracy Lessons US Fight Against Foreign Electoral - iss.europa.eu - <https://www.iss.europa.eu/publications/briefs/future-democracy-lessons-us-fight-against-foreign-electoral-interference-2024>
- [11] Threats To Uk Democracy Disinformation Foreign Interference - lordslibrary.parliament.uk - <https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/threats-to-uk-democracy-disinformation-foreign-interference-and-declining-public-trust/>
- [12] [preprint] Delivery.Cfm - papers.ssrn.com - AUTHORS UNAVAILABLE - <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/7435340.pdf?abstractid=7435340&mirid=1>